
Subject Index

- 1.6, converting from logit to probit, 227, 272, 273, 286
- 10 quick tips, 493–496
- 2 standard deviations, scaling by, 186–187
- 2.8 standard errors for power analysis, 295
- 3 challenges of statistical inference, 3
- 4, divide by for interpreting logistic regression coefficients, 220
- 55,000 residents need your help, 63–65

- abline(), 4, 156
- Academy Awards example, 288
- additivity assumption, 154
- age adjustment, 31–33
- age as regression input, 196, 197
- age-period-cohort example, 32
- Akaike information criterion (AIC), 175, 180
- always-taker, 422, 423, 439, 449
- Alzheimer’s disease, 23
- analytic calculations and simulation, 144
- arm (R package), 475
- arsenic example, 232–238, 242–249, 279, 283, 379
- as.matrix(), 113
- assignment variable in regression discontinuity, 433, 434
- assumptions, 14, 153–156
 - additivity, 154
 - causal inference, 155, 350–355
 - equal variance of errors, 154
 - failures, 155
 - independence of errors, 154
 - linearity, 154
 - normality of errors, 154
 - of regression analysis, ranked in importance, 153–155
 - reliability, 23–25
 - representativeness, 25, 154
 - validity, 23–25, 153
- autoregression, 166–168
- available-case analysis, 324
- average predictive comparison, 249–252, 261
- average treatment effect (ATE), 342–344, 348, 358–361, 372, 397–399, 418, 420

- balance, *see* causal inference, imbalance
- Bangladesh, *see* arsenic example
- baseline factor level, 138
- basketball, 44, 47
- bayes_R2(), 171, 491
- bayes_sim(), 229
- Bayesian additive regression trees (BART), 466, 471
- Bayesian inference, 15–16, 106–107, 109, 113–129, 228–230
- bayesplot (R package), 475, 492
- beauty and sex ratio, *see* sex ratio example
- beauty and teaching evaluations example, 151, 213
- Beck Depression Inventory, 24
- before-after studies, 27, 341, 357, 367–370
- beta-binomial regression, 272
- bias, 55, 346–347, 385
- binary data, 217
- binned averages, 241
- binned residuals, 253–255, 259
 - graph, 253
- binomial distribution, 43, 69
 - compared to Poisson, 268
 - naive application of, 46–47
- binomial regression, 287
- births, 68–71
- black box, 376
- bootstrapping, 73–76
 - limitations, 75
 - with structured data, 75
- brm(), 272, 278, 284, 285, 430
- brms (R package), 264, 430, 492
- building generalized linear models, 232–237, 242–247, 283–285
- building linear regression models, 199–206
- bypass example, 452

- caliper matching, 409
- categorical variables
 - as regression inputs, 196
 - ordered or unordered, 273
- causal inference, 5, 10–11, 134, 155, 246, 496
 - adjusting for post-treatment variable, don’t do it, 369, 442, 445
 - assumptions, 350–355, 380, 386
 - average treatment effect (ATE), 342–344, 348, 358–361, 372, 397–399, 418, 420
 - balance, *see* imbalance
 - basics, 339–342
 - causes of effects, 415, 446
 - challenges of interpreting regression coefficients, 84–85, 373–374
 - complier average causal effect (CACE), 422
 - conditional average treatment effect (CATE), 343

- conditional independence, 389
- confounding covariate, 317, 380, 384–386, 392, 393, 395–396, 438
- constructed observational study, 405, 417, 418
- continuous treatment, 342
- counterfactual, 394, 399, 414, 423, *see also* potential outcomes
- difference-in-differences, 442–445, 449
- direct and indirect effects, 377–379
- effect of the treatment on the controls and treated, 398
- effects of causes, 415, 446
- exclusion restriction, 422–423
- fixed effects, 440–442, 449
- fundamental problem, 340–342, 377, 379
- fuzzy regression discontinuity, 434
- hypothetical example, 339–340
- ignorability, 388–389, 413, 417, 418, 422–423, 431, 444
- imbalance, 344, 347, 358, 388, 391–397, 411–413
 - graph, 393, 395, 396, 402, 403
- individual treatment effect, 342–344
- infinite regress of causation, 415
- instrumental variables, 421–432, 438, 449–450, 454
 - adjusting for covariates, 428
 - assumptions, 422–423, 427–428, 431–432
 - derivation, 423–428
 - identifiability, 427–428, 430
 - standard error, 429
 - two-stage least squares, 428–430
 - Wald estimate, 426, 428
 - weak instruments, 431
- intent-to-treat (ITT) effect, 421, 423, 426, 428
- intermediate outcomes, 374–379
- local average treatment effect (LATE), 416, 426
- mapping a hypothetical intervention, 414
- mapping your study to an ideal controlled experiment, 415–416
- matching, 399–405
 - propensity score, 399–405, 407, 417, 418
- mediation, 374, 377–379
- model extrapolation, 385, 392, 394
- monotonicity, 422–423
- multilevel model, 342, 440
- multiple treatments, 342, 413, 415
- natural experiment, 431
- observational study, 7, 383–416
- overlap, 344, 388, 391–397, 411–413, 416, 438
 - graph, 392, 393, 396, 403
- paths, 376–379
- population average treatment effect (PATE), 343, 348
- post-treatment variable, do not adjust for, 374–379
- poststratification, 342, 370, 372, 397–399
- potential outcomes, 339–342, 356, 358, 363–364, 375, 377, 379, 383, 384, 388, 414, 418, 423
 - close substitutes, 341
 - interpreting regression coefficients, 134
- predictive comparison, 383, 384
- principal stratification, 378
- propensity score matching, 399–405, 407, 417, 418
- propensity score weighting, 417
- randomized encouragement, 421
- randomized experiment, 389
 - cluster-randomized, 349
 - completely randomized, 388, 389
 - matched pairs, 140, 349, 352, 366
 - randomized blocks, 140, 347–349, 351, 352, 360, 366
- regression discontinuity, 432–438, 445, 449, 452
- sample average treatment effect (SATE), 343, 348
- selection bias, 383
- selection model, 417
- selection on observables, 389, *see also* ignorability
- sensitivity analysis, 417
- simultaneous causality, 416
- sketch, 364
- stable unit treatment value assumption (SUTVA), 350, 353–354, 356
- structural equation model, 432
- subclassification, 397–398, 416
- support of the data, 385
- treatment interaction, 370–371, 398, 436–438
- treatment variable, 414
- using regression, 363–382
- varying-intercept model, 440–442
- weighting, 397, 398, 409–411
- causal statements and causal questions, 448
- causes of effects, 415, 445–448
- cbind(), 143, 156
- censored data, 323, 458
 - imputation for, 332–333
 - picture, 332
- centering, 137, 185–187
 - interactions and, 243
- Central Limit Theorem, 41–42, 77
- child care example, 374–379, 394–397, 399, 416, 430
- children with HIV, 297–300
- children's test scores, 151, 185–187
- Chile schools example, 433–440
- choice models, 287, 288
- classical inference, 14–15
- cleaning data, 485–488
- cluster sampling, 311
- cluster-randomized experiment, 349
- co-op election example, 63–65
- cockroaches, *see* roaches example

- coef(), 82, 98, 156
- collinearity, 146, 198
- colochos, xv
- colors, 156
- combining inferences from multiple imputations, 326
- common causal support, 416
- communication, 23
- comparing distributions, 45
- comparing two surveys, 296
- comparisons as regression models, 99–102
- comparisons, graphs as, 31
- compatibility interval, 111
- complete-case analysis, 324
- compliance, 380, 421
- complier, 422, 431, 439, 449
- complier average causal effect (CACE), 422, 450
- compound model
 - imputing a variable that can be positive or zero, 329–330
 - simulation of, 70, 72, 285–286, 329–330
- computing, 16–17, 109–111, 467–470, 475–492, 495
- conditional average treatment effect (CATE), 343
- conditional independence, 389
- confidence interval, 51–53, 58
 - continuous data, 53
 - coverage, 52, 77
 - discrete data, 54
 - for proportion when $y = 0$ or n , 52, 67
 - proportions, 52
- confounding covariate, 317, 380, 384–386, 392, 393, 395–396, 438
- Congress example, 140–144, 287, 335, 381
- constructed observational study, 405
- constructive choice model, 279–283
- contaminated data, 278
- contamination model, 283
- continuous and discrete predictors, 196
- continuous probability simulation, 77
- controlled experiment, 415
- correlated error, 459
- correlation, 43, 48, 169, 179, 187–189
- cost-benefit analysis, 78, 280, 311–312
- count data, 263, 264, 266, 272
- count data and binary data, 272
- counterfactual, *see* causal inference
- counterfactual and predictive interpretations of regression, 134
- coverage example, 51
- coverage of confidence intervals, 52, 77, 98, 295
- cows example, 381
- criminology, 291
- cross validation, 172–180, 230–232, *see also* leave-one-out cross validation
- crossover trials, 341
- Current Population Survey, 417
- curve(), 37, 476
- cutpoints, 274, 276
 - ordered logit or probit, 274
- data matrix, 145
- data subsetting, 495
- data.frame(), 82
- dead salmon, 61
- death penalty, 54, 271, 332–333
- decision analysis, 129
 - arsenic example, 279–283
- decline effect, 311
- deep learning, 466
- default prior distribution, 124
- defier, 422, 423, 439
- degrees of freedom, 53, 110, 168, 169, 175
- dependent variable, why we avoid the term, 145
- design analysis, 291–312
 - after the data have been collected, 304
 - for continuous outcomes, 297–300
 - for proportions, 293–297
 - for regression coefficients, 300
 - graph, 292
 - inherently speculative, 299
 - unequal sample sizes, 296
- design of sampling and experiments, 291–312
- deterministic or random imputation, 327, 329, 334
- deviance, 174, 230–232
- diagnostics, 156–180
 - external validation, 171
 - residuals, 161, 253
 - simulation-based, 69–78, 288
- diarrhea, zinc, and HIV, 297–300
- difference-in-differences, 442–445, 449
- different software example, 492
- discrete and continuous predictors, 196, 260
- discrete probability simulation, 76
- distance metrics, 406
- distance to the nearest safe well, 234
- distribution, 40–45, 47
 - binomial, 43
 - comparing, 45
 - exponential, 124
 - logistic, 226
 - lognormal, 43
 - negative binomial, 263
 - normal, 41–43, 145
 - Poisson, 44, 263, 265
 - t, 53, 278
 - χ^2 , 53
- divide-by-4 rule, for interpreting logistic regression, 220
- dividing by two standard deviations, 186–187
- dummy variable, *see* indicator
- dynamic graphics, 33
- earnings
 - height and, 183, 284

- logarithmic models, 189–195
 - mixed discrete/continuous data, 283–284
- earnings example, 74, 84–85, 91, 189–195, 284
- econometrics and biostatistics, 417
- education as categorical input variable, 245
- effect size, why more important than sample size, 293
- effects of causes, 415, 446
- effects, why this term can be misleading, 84–85
- efficiency, 352
- elasticity, 195, 213
- election fraud, 63–65
- elections, 4, 62, 93–99, 101, 120, 140–144, 257, 335, 454
- elections and economy example, 4, 93–99, 113, 115, 120, 469
- Electric Company example, 6, 312, 364–372, 379, 386–394
 - graph of data, 365
- elephants, 24, 39
- encouragement design, 358
- ensemble learning, 466
- equal variance of errors, 154
- error rate, 255
 - where it can mislead, 255
- estimands and estimates, 50
- examples, 4, 476
 - Academy Awards, 288
 - age-period-cohort, 32
 - arsenic, 232–238, 242–249, 279, 283, 379
 - beauty and teaching evaluations, 151, 213
 - bypass, 452
 - child care, 374–379, 394–397, 399, 416, 430
 - Chile schools, 433–440
 - co-op election, 63–65
 - Congress, 140–144, 287, 335, 381
 - coverage, 51
 - cows, 381
 - death penalty opinions, 54
 - different software, 492
 - earnings, 74, 84–85, 91, 189–195, 284
 - elections and economy, 4, 93–99, 113, 115, 120, 469
 - Electric Company, 6, 312, 364–372, 379, 386–394
 - fake K-fold CV, 179
 - fake midterm and final, 88–89, 306–310
 - flight school, 90
 - gay marriage, 198, 467, 472
 - girl births, 68
 - golf, 461–464, 471
 - health expenditure, 25
 - height and weight, 42, 117–119, 136–139, 484–488
 - helicopter, 17–18
 - Human Development Index, 21–22
 - imputation, 322, 335
 - incentives, 373–374
 - intro class, 161
 - kid IQ, 131–135, 151, 156, 161, 185
 - LaLonde, 287, 417
 - logistic priors, 229
 - logit graphs, 241
 - mesquite bushes, 200–206
 - metabolic rate, 39–40
 - mile run, 37–38, 482
 - names, 27–28, 34
 - NES, 149, 217, 237, 257, 287
 - Newcomb, 163–165
 - parabola, 488–489
 - peacekeeping, 7–8
 - Pearson and Lee, 85–88
 - Pew, 23
 - Poisson, 265, 266
 - pollution, 212
 - poststratification, 313–316, 320–322
 - predicting student grades, 206–210
 - probability of a tied election, 45–47, 144
 - probability simulation, 69
 - pyth, 181
 - R^2 , 170
 - residuals, 158
 - restaurant, 489–490
 - risky behavior, 286, 288
 - roaches, 268–270, 284–285
 - rodents, 239
 - sample size, 302–304
 - scalability, 470
 - Sesame Street, 357, 422, 428
 - sex ratio, 121–122, 125–128, 304–306
 - simple causal, 10–11
 - simplest, 82, 99
 - stents, 45
 - storable votes, 274–278, 286
 - unemployment, 166–168
- exclusion restriction, 422, 423, 431, 439
- exercise time, 45
- expectation, 41
- experimental benchmark, 405
- experimental design, 291–312, 347–350
- explained variance, *see* R^2
- exploratory data analysis, 30
- exponential distribution, 124
- exponential growth and decline, 38
- exposure, analogue to treatment in observational studies, 386, 414
- exposure, in Poisson or negative binomial regression, 267–268
- expression(), 248
- external validity, 171, 354, 380
- extra-virgin olive oil, 23
- extrapolation, 5, 6, 354
- F test, why we do not use it, 147

- factor variable, 198
- fake K-fold CV example, 179
- fake midterm and final example, 88–89, 306–310
- fake-data simulation, 76, 82–83, 91, 97–99, 101, 102, 150, 161–163, 176, 181, 239, 242, 265, 302–304, 312, 320–322, 334, 358, 494, 496
- feeling thermometer, 227
- file-drawer effect, 61
- fit many models, 495
- fitting the wrong model, 181
- fixed effects, 440–442, 449
 - why we avoid the term, 440
- flight school example, 90
- forcing variable, *see* assignment variable
- forking paths, 61, 67
- forward and reverse causal inference, 445–448
- functions in R, 72, 326–328
- fundamental problem of causal inference, 340–342, 377, 379
- fuzzy regression discontinuity, 434, 438
 - connection to instrumental variables, 438
- gain score, 369, 380
- garden of forking paths, 61
- Gaussian process, 465
- gay marriage example, 198, 467, 472
- General Social Survey, 261, 288
- generalized least squares, 148
- generalized linear model, 263–288
 - beta-binomial, 272
 - binomial, 270–272, 287
 - building, 283–285
 - cutpoints, 274
 - logistic, 217–261
 - logistic-binomial, 263, 270–272
 - multinomial logit and probit, 264, 273–278, 286, 287
 - negative binomial, 263–270, 286
 - exposure, 267–268
 - ordered logistic, 264, 273–278, 286, 287
 - Poisson, 263–270, 286
 - compared to binomial, 268
 - exposure, 267–268
 - probit, 264, 272–273
 - probit or logit, 272
 - robit as robust alternative, 278–279
 - robust, 264
 - simulation, 247–286
 - thresholds, 274
 - Tobit, 284, 286, 287
- generative model, 50
- genetic algorithms, 466
- geometric mean and standard deviation, 43
- ggplot2 (R package), 475
- girl births example, 68
- glm(), xiv
- goals of regression, 9–13, 18
- golf example, 461–464, 471
- goodness-of-fit, *see* model checking
- grades, predicting, 161
- graphics, 21–23, 25–31, 33, 156–163, 482–484, 493
 - alternative displays of the same data, 365
 - as comparisons, 31
 - finding unexpected patterns, 31
 - jittering, 132
 - R, 33
 - residual plot, 160
 - scatterplot with regression lines superimposed, 135, 156–163
 - showing fitted models, 31
 - why, 30
- graphing a line, 37–38
- guessing on a multiple-choice test, 283
- gun control, 8–9, 17
- Hamiltonian Monte Carlo, 468–469
- handedness, 196
- Hawthorne effect, 354, 356
- health expenditure example, 25
- height, 72, 77, 85, 90
 - earnings and, 183, 284
 - logarithmic models, 189–195
 - mixture model for, 41
 - parents and children, 188
 - weight and, 169, 212
- height and weight example, 42, 117–119, 136–139, 484–488
- helicopter example, 17–18
- heteroscedasticity, 154, 283
- hierarchical model, 350, 440, 460
- hist(), 69, 328
- history of regression, 85–87, 90
- holding other predictors constant, difficulty of, 133
- homoscedasticity, 154, 283
- horror movie, 269
- horseshoe prior for sparse regression, 179, 208–210, 460
- hot-deck imputation, 330
- Human Development Index example, 21–22, 34
- hypothesis testing, 57–68, 147
 - moving beyond, 66–67
- I(), 330
- ideal-point model, 288
- identifiability, 146
 - categorized predictors and, 146, 198
 - instrumental variables, 427–428, 430
 - likelihood and, 146
 - linear regression, 146, 198
 - logistic regression, 227–228, 256–259
- idiosyncratic transformation, 213
- ifelse(), 327, 479

- ignorability, 323, 333, 350–352, 386, 388–389, 413, 417, 422
- imbalance, *see* causal inference
- imputation, 322–335
- impute(), 328
- incentives example, 373–374
- income and voting, 217–222, 237
- incremental cost-effectiveness ratio, 78
- incumbency, 381, 454
 - congressional elections, 141
- independence of errors, 154
- independent variable, why we avoid the term, 145
- index variable, 197
- indicator variable, 99–101, 132, 136–139, 197
 - default, reference, or baseline condition, 198
- individual treatment effect, 343
- Inf, 478
- Infant Health and Development Program, *see* child care example
- inference, *see* statistical inference
- influence, 107–108, 111
- instrumental variables, *see* causal inference
- intent-to-treat (ITT) effect, 426, 428
- interactions, 134–136, 151, 185–187, 193, 360
 - centering the input variables, 243
 - compared to main effects, 301–302
 - graphing, 245
 - logistic regression, 242–247
 - sample size and, 300–304, 312
 - smoking and cancer, 10, 136
 - treatment effects, 370–371, 398
 - when to look for, 136
- intercept, 86, 95, 133, 135
- intermediate outcome, *see* causal inference
- internal validity, 354
- interpreting regression coefficients, 95, 205, 243, 244
- interquartile range (IQR), 201
- intro class example, 161
- inverse estimated probability of treatment weighting, 409–411, 420
- invlogit() and logit(), 217
- iterative regression imputation, 331
- iteratively weighted least squares, 228
- Jacobian, 202–203
- Jamaica childhood intervention, 15, 17
- jittering, 132, 234
- K-fold cross validation, 178–179
- kid IQ example, 131–135, 151, 156, 161, 185
- knitr (R package), 475
- LaLonde example, 287, 417
- lasso prior for sparse regression, 210, 460
- latent-data formulation for logistic regression, 226–228, 274
- Latinos, hypothetical survey of, 310
- least squares, 103–109, 111, 112, 146
 - weighted, 147–148
- leave-one-out cross validation, 112, 172–178, 201–206, 232, 235–236, 244
 - loo (R package), 475
- legislative redistricting, 27
- level of a factor variable, 198
- library(), 476
- likelihood
 - function, 146
 - logistic regression, 228
 - surface, 105–106
- linear predictor, 116, 219, 223
- linear regression, 131–214
 - assumptions, 153–161
 - compared to principal component line, 187–188
 - correlation and, 187–189
 - counterfactual interpretation, 134
 - diagnostics, 153–161
 - displaying several, 148–149
 - general principles for building models, 199
 - interactions, 134–136
 - interpreting coefficients, 133–136
 - interactions, 135–136
 - missing-data imputation, 326–330
 - multiple predictors, 131–152
 - notation, 145–146
 - picture of matrix, 145
 - prediction, 171–172, 200–206
 - predictive interpretation, 134
 - sample size and power calculations, 300
 - simulation, 142–144
 - statistical inference, 144–147
 - transformation, 183–214
 - validation, 171–172
 - weighted, 147–148
- linear transformation, 42–43, 48, 54, 137, 183–185, 193–194, 227, 234, 243, 245, 276
- linearity assumption, 154
- link function, 263
- lm(), compared to stan_glm(), xiv, 16, 109–112
- local average treatment effect (LATE), 416, 426
- loess(), 465, 467
- log score, 174–179, 230–232
- log(), 189
- log-log transformation, 194, 212, 213
- log10(), 192
- logarithmic transformation, 38–40, 43, 68, 189–195, 212, 254
 - even when not necessary, 195
 - interpreting coefficients, 190, 192
 - picture, 190
 - why base e and not base 10, 191–192
- logistic curve, 218
- logistic distribution, close to normal with standard deviation 1.6, 227, 273, 286

- logistic priors example, 229
- logistic probability density function, 226
- logistic regression, 217–261
 - binned residual plot, 259
 - choice models in one and multiple dimensions, 280–282
 - compared to probit, 272, 281, 287
 - divide-by-4 rule for interpreting coefficients, 220
 - graphing, 218, 234, 236, 239, 241–242, 245, 259
 - graphing data and fitted curve, 219
 - identifiability, 227–228, 256–259
 - inference, 221
 - interactions, 242–247
 - interpreting coefficients, 220–222, 235, 238
 - latent-data formulation, 226–228
 - logit and logit^{-1} functions, 219
 - missing-data imputation, 326–330
 - odds ratio, 220–221
 - pictures, 218
 - predictive comparison, 220, 249–252
 - propensity score, 401, 407
 - separation, 256–259
 - simulation, 247
 - standard error, 221
 - two predictors, 235–237
 - using Stan, 461
 - well-switching in Bangladesh, 232–237
- logistic-binomial regression, 263, 270–272
 - overdispersion, 271
- logit, *see* logistic regression
- logit graphs example, 241
- logit() and invlogit(), 217
- lognormal distribution, 43
- loo(), 172, 177–178, 475
- loo_predict(), 174
- looking at the data, 488
- loops, 479
- lurking variable, 385

- machine learning, 464–467
- mad sd, 73
- mad(), 73
- Mahalanobis distance, 407
- many predictors, 459–460
- MASS (R package), 179, 285
- matched pairs experiment, 140, 349, 352, 366
- matching, *see* causal inference
- matching(), 401, 402
- maternal IQ, 132
- matrix notation, 145–146
- matrix of simulations, 143, 248
- maximum likelihood, 105–107, 110, 111, 146, 229–230
 - logistic regression, 228
- mean, 41
- mean imputation, 325
- mean(), 186
- measurement, 23–25
- measurement error, 49, 458, 471
- median, 73
- median absolute deviation, 73, 113
- mediation, *see* causal inference
- mesquite bushes example, 200–206
- messy data in R, 484–488
- metabolic rate example, 39–40
- mice, external validity of studies on, 23
- midterm and final exams, 161–163
- mile run example, 37–38, 482
- millimeters, inches, and miles, 183
- missing at random (MAR), 323, 333
 - impossibility of verifying, 323
- missing completely at random (MCAR), 323
- missing data, 322–335, 355, 458–459, 478
 - in R, 322
- missing-data imputation, 322–335
 - available-case analysis, 324
 - complete-case analysis, 324
 - congressional elections, 142
 - deterministic or random, 327, 329
 - iterative, 331
 - many variables, 330–332
 - matching, 330
 - models, 322–324
 - multiple imputation, 326–335
 - simple methods, 324–325
 - topcoding, 327
- mixed discrete/continuous data, 283–284, 329
- model checking, 12–13, 33, 494, 496
 - using simulation, 163–168
- model error, 49
- model extrapolation, 385, 392, 394
- model selection, 180
- monotonicity assumption for instrumental variables, 422, 423, 439
- Monte Carlo, 468
- mortality rates, 31–33
- mothers and children, 85–88, 151, 185–187
- multilevel model, 350, 440, 460
- multinomial logit and probit regression, 264, 273–278, 286, 287
 - storable votes, 274–278
- multiple comparisons, 66
- multiple imputation, 326–335
 - combining inferences, 326
- multiplicative model, 189
- multivariate imputation, 330–332
- mvnrm(), 179

- NA, 151, 478
- names example, 27–28, 34
- naming inputs, 192
- NaN, 478

- National Election Study, 149
- National Longitudinal Survey of Youth, 131, 405
- National Supported Work program, 417
- natural experiment, 431
- natural log, 191–192
- negative binomial regression, 263–270, 286
 - exposure, 267–268
 - interpreting coefficients, 267
 - zero-inflated, 284–285
- NES example, 149, 217, 237, 257, 287
- never-taker, 422, 423, 439, 449
- Newcomb example, 163–165
- noncompliance, 355
- nonidentifiability, *see* identifiability
- nonlinear modeling, 460–464, 471
- nonparametric regression, 464–467
- nonresponse weighting, 325
- normal distribution, 41–43
 - estimated regression coefficients, 221
 - notation, 145
 - regression errors, 154
- normality of errors, 154
- not missing at random (NMAR), 323
- notation for regression models, 81, 144–147
- observational study, 7, 383
- observed measurements, generalizing from, 3
- odds ratio, 220–221
- offset in Poisson or negative binomial regression, 267, 287
- omitted variable bias, 385
- omniscience, 357
- open data, 66
- optimal design, 312
- optimizing in R and Stan, 488–490
- optimizing setting for `stan_glm()`, 16, 109, 470
- `options()`, 30
- ordered and unordered categorical outcomes, 273, 277
- ordered logistic regression, 264, 273–278, 286, 287
- outcome variable, 4
- outlier, 178
- outliers in binary data, 278
- overdispersion, 266, 271
 - simulation, 285
- overestimates, published results tend to be, 62, 293
- overfitting, 104, 175
- overlap, *see* causal inference
- ovulation and voting, 62, 66
- p*-hacking, 61, 67
- p*-values, *see* hypothesis testing
- packages in R, 475
- paired comparisons, 140, 366
- Panel Study of Income Dynamics, 417
- parabola example, 488–489
- parameters, 50
- partial pooling, 119, 128
- partisan bias, 27
- party identification, 23, 315
- `paste()`, 478
- `pch`, 156
- peacekeeping example, 7–8, 17
- Pearson and Lee example, 85–88
- Pew example, 23
- phase diagram for decision analysis, 282
- `plm` (R package), 440, 449
- `plogis()`, 217
- `plot()`, 156, 483
- plots of replicated datasets, 164–166
- plotting a series of regressions, 149
- plotting the data and fitted model, 156–163
- `pnorm()`, 96
- point prediction, 115–116, 223
- Poisson distribution, 44
- Poisson example, 265, 266
- Poisson regression, 263–270, 286
 - checking using simulation, 268–269
 - compared to binomial, 268
 - exposure, 267–268
 - interpreting coefficients, 267
 - zero-inflated, 284–285
- political ideology, 23, 149
- political party identification, 148–149
- polling, 6, 54, 120, 149, 222, 257, 314–320
- pollution example, 212
- population average treatment effect (PATE), 343, 348
- Portugal students example, 206–210
- post-treatment outcomes, 445
- posterior predictive check, 163–168, 180
- posterior simulations, 113–115
- posterior uncertainty, 117, 247
- `posterior_epred()`, 116, 223, 315
- `posterior_linpred()`, 116, 223
- `posterior_predict()`, 116, 224
- poststratification, 313–322, 370, 372, 397–399
- poststratification example, 313–316, 320–322
- potential outcome, *see* causal inference
- power analysis, 291–312
 - 2.8 standard errors, 295
 - classical, 293–300
 - for continuous outcomes, 297–300
 - for proportions, 293–297
 - for regression coefficients, 300
 - general concerns, 294
 - graph, 292, 296
 - inherently speculative, 299, 300
 - picture, 295
 - unequal sample sizes, 296
- power-law growth and decline, 38–40
- pre-test, 363–364, 367–370, 380
 - sketch, 364
- pre-treatment variables, 352
- `predict()`, 115–116, 223, 401

- predicting student grades example, 206–210
- prediction, 12, 95, 96, 102, 113–129, 142, 151, 171–172, 199–206, 222
 - interpreting regression coefficients, 134
- prediction error, 174
- predictive check, *see* posterior predictive check
- predictive comparison, 220, 249–252, 259
 - formula, 249
 - graph, 250
 - interactions, 252
- predictive comparison in causal inference, 383, 384
- predictive comparison in regression, *see* average predictive comparison
- predictive distribution, 115–119, 224
- predictive simulation, 128, 143, 163–168, 247–286
 - binomial distribution, 248
 - generalized linear models, 247–286
 - latent logistic distribution, 248
 - linear regression, 142–144, 151
 - model checking and, 163–168
- predictors, 4, 144
- presidential elections, 93–99, 217–222
- principal component line, 187, 188
- principal stratification, 379
- prior distribution, 109–110, 123–127, 129, 259
 - default, 124
 - for regression with many predictors, 206–210
 - horseshoe, 208–210, 460
 - informative, 125–128
 - lasso, 210, 460
 - sex ratio example, 125
 - uniform, 123
 - weakly informative, 124–125, 208
- prior information, 14, 15, 62, 119–121, 229
 - sex ratio example, 121
- prior_summary(), 491
- probability, *see* distribution
- probability modeling, 45–47
- probability models, simulation of, 69–72
- probability of a tied election, 45–47, 144
- probability simulation example, 69
- probit regression, 264, 272–273, 286, 287
 - compared to logit, 272, 281
- profile likelihood, 257, 258
- programming in R, 488–492
- programming in Stan, 461–464, 492
- propagating uncertainty, 56, 77, 113–119, 247–249
- propensity score, *see* causal inference
- psychology, 62
- publication bias, 59, 305
- pyth example, 181

- Q-Q plots, why we do not recommend, 155
- qlogis(), 217
- quantile(), 73
- quick tips, 493–496

- R, 16–17, 475–492
 - abline(), 4, 156
 - arm package, 475
 - as.matrix(), 113
 - bayes_R2(), 171, 491
 - bayes_sim(), 229
 - bayesplot package, 475, 492
 - brm(), 272, 278, 284, 285, 430
 - brms package, 430, 492
 - cbind(), 143, 156
 - coef(), 82, 98, 156
 - colors, 156
 - curve(), 37, 476
 - data types, 477
 - data.frame(), 82
 - digits, 30
 - expression(), 248
 - functions, 72, 326–328, 477, 488
 - getting started, 475
 - ggplot2 package, 475
 - glm(), xiv
 - graphics, 33, 482–484
 - hist(), 69, 328
 - I(), 330
 - ifelse(), 327, 479
 - impute(), 328
 - Inf, 478
 - invlogit(), 217, 248
 - knitr package, 475
 - library(), 476
 - lm(), xiv, 16, 109–111
 - loess(), 465, 467
 - log(), 189
 - log10(), 192
 - logit(), 217
 - loo package, 172, 475
 - loo(), 172, 177–178
 - loo_predict(), 174
 - loops, 479
 - mad(), 73
 - MASS package, 179, 285
 - matching(), 401, 402
 - mean(), 186
 - messy data, 484–488
 - mvnrm(), 179
 - NA, 151, 478
 - NaN, 478
 - optimizing in, 488–490
 - optimizing setting for stan_glm(), 16, 109, 470
 - options(), 30
 - packages, 475
 - paste(), 478
 - pch, 156
 - plm package, 440, 449
 - plogis(), 217
 - plot(), 156, 483

- pnorm(), 96
- posterior_epred(), 116, 223, 315
- posterior_linpred(), 116, 223
- posterior_predict(), 116, 224
- predict(), 115–116, 223, 401
- prior_summary(), 491
- programming, 488–492
- qlogis(), 217
- quantile(), 73
- rbinom(), 69, 72, 248
- rbounds package, 417
- rbrms package, 264
- read.csv(), 482
- read.fwf(), 485
- read.table(), 4, 181, 482
- reading data, 481
- replicate(), 72
- rnegbin(), 285
- rnorm(), 72, 97, 260
- rowMeans(), 372
- rowSums(), 143
- rpois(), 72
- rprojroot package, 476
- rstan package, 475
- rstanarm package, xiv, 82, 264, 475, 476, 490–492
- RStudio, 475
- runif(), 248, 477
- sample(), 68, 70, 326, 477
- sd(), 186
- se(), 98
- seq(), 477
- shinytan package, 492
- stan_gamm4(), 467
- stan_glm(), xiv, 16, 82, 109–111, 218
- stan_polr(), 276
- subscripting, 480
- subset option in stan_glm(), 330
- sum(), 143
- survey package, 404, 475
- table(), 488
- working directory, 481
- writing data, 482
- R^2 , 84, 168–171, 193, 491
 - Bayesian, 170
 - example, 170
 - graph, 169
- radon, 11, 17
- random imputation, 326, 327, 329
- randomization, 78, 346–347, 357, 381
 - distribution, 346
- randomized blocks experiment, 140, 347–349, 351, 352, 360, 366
- randomized experiment, 345–355
 - limitations, 350–355
- randomized-encouragement design, 421
- ratio of parameters, 78
- rats, 39, 239
- rbinom(), 69, 72, 248
- rbounds (R package), 417
- read.csv(), 482
- read.fwf(), 485
- read.table(), 4, 181, 482
- reading data in R, 481
- redistricting, 27
- regression, *see* linear regression, logistic regression, generalized linear models
 - why learn, 4–5
- regression discontinuity, *see* causal inference
- regression to the mean, 85–91, 187–189
- regularization, 459–460
- reliability, 23–25, 34
- replicate(), 72
- replicated datasets, plotted, 164–166
- replication, 148–149, 493
- replication crisis in science, 62, 67, 293
- representativeness, 25, 154
- researcher degrees of freedom, 61, 67
- residual standard deviation, 84, 104, 168–171
 - models of, 283
- residual sum of squares, 103
- residuals, 145, 253
 - binned, 253
 - graph, 161–163, 172, 253
 - graph vs. predicted values, not vs. observed values, 162, 163
 - standard deviation of, 169
- residuals example, 158
- restaurant example, 489–490
- retrospective observational study, 415
- risky behavior example, 286, 288
- rnegbin(), 285
- rnorm(), 72, 97, 260
- roaches example, 268–270, 284–285
- robit regression, 278–279, 287
 - generalization of logit and probit, 279
 - picture, 278
- robust regression, 264, 278–279, 286
- rodents example, 239
- rowMeans(), 372
- rowSums(), 143
- rpois(), 72
- rprojroot (R package), 476
- rstan (R package), 475
- rstanarm (R package), xiv, 82, 264, 475, 476, 490–492
- RStudio, 475
- runif(), 248, 477
- sample average treatment effect (SATE), 343, 348
- sample mean, 53
- sample size calculation, 102, 291–312
 - 2.8 standard errors, 295

- classical, 293–300
- for continuous outcomes, 297–300
- for proportions, 293–297
- for regression coefficients, 300
- general concerns, 294
- inherently speculative, 299, 300
- interactions, 300
- picture, 295, 296
- unequal sample sizes, 296
- sample size example, 302–304
- sample to population, generalizing from, 3
- sample(), 68, 70, 326, 477
- sampling distribution, 50, 53, 102, 346–347, 357, 361
- sampling model, 49
- sampling with replacement, 74, 477
- sampling, design for, 291–312
- scalability example, 470
- scaling of predictors, 183, 211
- scatterplot, 21–22, 25–27
 - data and regression lines superimposed, 135
- sd(), 186
- se(), 98
- secret weapon, 148–149, 213, 493
 - pictures, 54, 149, 222
- selection bias, 15, 25, 59, 62, 91, 305, 308, 323, 344, 374, 383–385, 389, 417
 - simulation, 309
- selection on observables, 389, *see also* ignorability
- sensitivity, 391
- separation in logistic regression, 256–259
- seq(), 477
- Sesame Street example, 357, 421–426, 428, 449
- sex ratio example, 121–122, 125–128, 304–306
- shinytan (R package), 492
- significance, *see* statistical significance
- significant digits, 29, 30, 34
- simple causal example, 10–11
- simplest example, 82, 99
- simulation, 69–78, 129, 142–144, 151, 288
 - combined with analytic calculations, 144
 - comparing simulated to actual data, 163–168
 - compound model, 70, 72, 285–286, 329–330
 - coverage of confidence intervals, 98
 - displaying uncertainty in a fitted model, 247
 - fake-data, 76, 97–99, 101, 102, 150, 161–163, 176, 320–322, 358, 494, 496
 - generalized linear models, 247–286
 - how many draws are needed, 152
 - logistic regression, 247
 - matrix of simulated parameters and predictions, 143, 248
 - nonlinear predictions, 140–144
 - overdispersed models, 285
 - posterior predictive check, 163–168
 - predictive, 247–286
 - probability models, 69–72
 - regression inferences, 142–144
 - replicated datasets, plotted, 164–166
 - simultaneous-equation model, 459
 - smoking and cancer, 10, 136
 - Social Indicators Survey, 322–332, 335
 - speed of light, 164
 - spline, 465
 - sports, 44, 47, 461–464
 - square root transformation, 328
 - stable unit treatment value assumption (SUTVA), 350, 353–354, 356
 - Stan, 283, 468–470, 475, 476
 - building models in, 460–464
 - editing code from brms, 492
 - getting started, 476
 - optimizing in, 488–490
 - stan_gamm4(), 467
 - stan_glm(), xiv, 16, 82, 109–111, 218
 - why we use it, xiv, 109
 - stan_polr(), 276
 - standard deviation, 41, 53
 - standard error, 51, 52
 - for a proportion, 51
 - standardizing predictors, 184–187, 211, 247
 - statistical error, 57–60
 - statistical inference, 50–60, 144–147
 - 3 challenges of, 3
 - graph of uncertainty, 221
 - standard error, 221
 - statistical significance, 57–62, 221, 244, 493
 - problems with, 60–63
 - sample size and power, 294
 - statistical significance filter, 59, 62, 305
 - stents example, 45
 - storable votes example, 274–278, 286
 - data and fitted curves, 275
 - structural equation model, 432, 449
 - subclassification, 416
 - subscripting in R, 480
 - subset option in stan_glm(), 327, 330
 - subsetting data, 495
 - sum of squares in least squares estimation, 104
 - sum(), 143
 - superpopulation, 49
 - surly people, 323
 - survey (R package), 404, 475
 - survival analysis, 458
 - switches, 167
 - symbols on graphs, 27
 - t distribution, 53, 278, 286
 - t regression, 278–279
 - t test, why we do not use it, 147
 - table(), 488
 - tables, displaying, 30, 34
 - teaching evaluations and beauty, 151, 213

- test scores in Chile, 433–440
- test summary
 - graphical, 164–166
 - numerical, 63, 165, 269
- threats to validity, 354
- thresholds for ordered logit or probit, 274
- tied election, probability of, 45–47, 144
- time series, checking a fitted model, 166–168
- Tobit regression, 284, 286, 287
- topcoding for missing-data imputation, 327
- traffic accidents, 264–267
- transformation, 183–214, 496
 - idiosyncratic, 196, 213
 - linear, 42–43, 48, 183–185
 - log-log, 212, 213
 - logarithmic, 43, 68, 189–195, 212, 254
 - square root, 195, 328
- treatment, *see* causal inference
- treatment to control group, generalizing from, 3
- tree model, 466
- true values in fake-data simulation, 97
- twins, 70
- two-sided test, 57
- two-stage least squares, *see* causal inference, instrumental variables
- two-stage model for mixed discrete/continuous data, 283, 329
- type 1 and 2 errors, 58–59
- type M and S errors, 59, 67, 292, 305
- unbiased estimation, 55, 346–347
- uncertainty interval, 51, 68, 73, 111
- uncontested elections as missing data, 142, 335
- underdispersion, 266
- unemployment example, 166–168
- unequal variances, *see* heteroscedasticity
- unexpected patterns in graphs, 31
- unexplained variance, *see* R^2
- United Nations, 7–8, 17
- unordered categorical regression, 278
- utility theory, 280
- validation, 171–172
- validity, 23–25, 34, 153
- value function, 279
- value of a statistical life, 381
- variance, 41
 - explained and unexplained, 84, 168–171
 - models of, 283
- varying-intercept model, 440–442
- vector-matrix notation, 36–37, 145–146
- Vietnam War draft lottery, 431, 449
- visual and numerical comparisons of replicated to actual data, 167
- vote share, 96–97
- voting and income, 217–222, 237
- Wald estimate for instrumental variables, 426, 428
- weak instruments, 425, 431, 449
- weakly informative prior, 208, 259
- weight
 - age and, 211
 - example of a lognormal distribution, 43
 - height and, 212
- weighted average, 35–36, 47, 54, 108–109, 314, 397, 398
 - formula for Bayesian inference, 119
- weighted least squares, 147–148
- weighted regression, three models for, 147–148
- well-switching in Bangladesh, *see* arsenic example
- why ask why, 445–448
- winner's curse in low-power studies, 292
- within-person controls, 442
- workflow, 3–496
- working directory in R, 481
- writing data in R, 482
- χ^2 , 53, 65
- Xbox survey, 6, 316–320
- z-score, 150, 184
- zero-inflated negative binomial or Poisson regression, 284–285
- zinc and HIV, 297–300